arXiv:1207.3967v1 [math.FA] 17 Jul 2012

COARSE AND UNIFORM EMBEDDINGS BETWEEN ORLICZ SEQUENCE SPACES

MICHAL KRAUS

Abstract. We give an almost complete description of the coarse and uniform embeddability between Orlicz sequence spaces. We show that the embeddability between two Orlicz sequence spaces is in most cases determined only by the values of their upper Matuszewska-Orlicz indices.

1. Introduction

Let $(M, d_M), (N, d_N)$ be metric spaces and let $f : M \to N$ be a mapping. Then f is called a *coarse embedding* if there exist nondecreasing functions $\rho_1, \rho_2 : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ such that $\lim_{t\to\infty} \rho_1(t) = \infty$ and

$$\rho_1(d_M(x, y)) \leq d_N(f(x), f(y)) \leq \rho_2(d_M(x, y)) \quad \text{for all } x, y \in M.$$

We say that f is a *uniform embedding* if f is injective and both f and $f^{-1} : f(M) \to M$ are uniformly continuous. If f is both a coarse embedding and a uniform embedding, then f is called a *strong uniform embedding*. Naturally we say that M *coarsely embeds* into N if there exists a coarse embedding of M into N, and similarly for other types of embeddings. Let us mention that what we call a coarse embedding is called a uniform embedding by some authors. We use the term coarse embedding because in the nonlinear geometry of Banach spaces the term uniform embedding has a well established meaning as above.

The study of conditions under which a Banach space coarsely (or uniformly) embeds into another Banach space has been a very active area of the nonlinear geometry of Banach spaces. Coarse embeddability has received much attention in recent years mainly because of its connection with geometric group theory, whereas the study of uniform embeddability may be regarded as classical. See [Ka1] for a recent survey on the nonlinear geometry of Banach spaces.

Not much is known in general, but there are some partial results. The coarse and uniform embeddability between ℓ_p-spaces is now completely characterized. Let us recall the results. Nowak proved that ℓ_p coarsely embeds into ℓ_2 if $1 \leq p < 2$ [No1, Proposition 4.1] and that ℓ_2 coarsely embeds into ℓ_p for any $1 \leq p < \infty$ [No2, Corollary 4]. A construction due to Albiac in [Al, proof of Proposition 4.1(ii)], originally used to show that ℓ_p Lipschitz embeds into ℓ_q if $0 < p < q \leq 1$, can be used to show that ℓ_p strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_q if $1 \leq p < q$ (see also [AB], where this construction is performed for all $0 < p < q$). This fact also follows from Proposition 4.1 below, whose proof is based on Albiac's construction. On the other hand, Johnson and Randrianarivony proved that ℓ_p does not coarsely embed into ℓ_2 if $p > 2$ [JR, Theorem 1]. Later, results of Mendel and Naor [MN, Theorems 1.9 and 1.11] showed that ℓ_p actually does not coarsely or uniformly embed into ℓ_q if $p > 2$ and $q < p$. Furthermore, ℓ_2 uniformly embeds into ℓ_p if $1 \leq p < \infty$. Indeed, by [BL, Corollary 8.11], ℓ_2 uniformly embeds into S_{ℓ_2}, which is uniformly homeomorphic

---

Date: July 18, 2012.

2010 *Mathematics Subject Classification.* Primary 46B80; Secondary 46B20.

This research was partially supported by the grant PHC Barrande 2012-26516YG.

to S_{ℓ_p} by [BL, Theorem 9.1]. In fact, ℓ_2 even strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_p if $1 \leq p < 2$. This will be proved in Theorem 3.1 below. We can summarize the results as follows.

Theorem 1.1. *Let $p, q \in [1, \infty)$. Then the following assertions are equivalent:*

(i) *ℓ_p coarsely embeds into ℓ_q.*
(ii) *ℓ_p uniformly embeds into ℓ_q.*
(iii) *ℓ_p strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_q.*
(iv) *$p \leq q$ or $q < p \leq 2$.*

Our aim is to generalize this classification to a wider class of Banach spaces, namely to Orlicz sequence spaces. Let h_M and h_N be Orlicz sequence spaces associated with Orlicz functions M and N, and let β_M and β_N be the upper Matuszewska-Orlicz indices of the functions M and N. We will show that the coarse (uniform) embeddability of h_M into h_N is in most cases determined only by the values of β_M and β_N. The dependence of the embeddability of h_M into h_N on the values of β_M and β_N is very similar to the dependence of the embeddability of ℓ_p into ℓ_q on the values of p and q from Theorem 1.1 (note that the upper Matuszewska-Orlicz index of ℓ_p is p). In some cases, however, the embeddability of h_M into h_N is not determined by the values of β_M and β_N. A brief summary of our results is given at the end of the paper.

It is worth mentioning that Borel-Mathurin proved in [B-M1] the following result concerning uniform homeomorphisms (i.e. bijections which are uniformly continuous and their inverses are also uniformly continuous) between Orlicz sequence spaces. Let M and N be Orlicz functions and let α_M and α_N be their lower Matuszewska-Orlicz indices. If h_M and h_N are uniformly homeomorphic, then $\alpha_M = \alpha_N$ and $\beta_M = \beta_N$. The fact that $\alpha_M = \alpha_N$ was published also in [B-M2], the fact that $\beta_M = \beta_N$ is a consequence of results of Kalton [Ka2].

This paper is organized as follows. In Section 2 we summarize the notation and terminology, and recall basic facts concerning Orlicz sequence spaces. In Section 3 we give the proof of the fact that ℓ_2 strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_p if $1 \leq p < 2$. Section 4 then contains the results concerning the coarse and uniform embeddability between Orlicz sequence spaces.

2. Preliminaries

Our notation and terminology for Banach spaces is standard, as may be found for example in [LT1] and [LT2]. All Banach spaces throughout the paper are supposed to be real. The unit sphere of a Banach space X is denoted by S_X. If $(X_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ is a sequence of Banach spaces and $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $(\sum_{n=1}^\infty X_n)_{\ell_p}$ stands for the ℓ_p-sum of these spaces, i.e. the space of all sequences $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ such that $x_n \in X_n$ for every n, and $\|x\| = (\sum_{n=1}^\infty \|x_n\|^p)^{\frac{1}{p}} < \infty$. If a Banach space X is isomorphic to a subspace of a Banach space Y, we will sometimes say that X *linearly embeds into* Y.

Let us give the necessary background concerning Orlicz sequence spaces. Details may be found in [LT1] and [LT2].

A function $M : [0, \infty) \to [0, \infty)$ is called an *Orlicz function* if it is continuous, nondecreasing and convex, and satisfies $M(0) = 0$ and $\lim_{t\to\infty} M(t) = \infty$.

Let M be an Orlicz function. We denote by ℓ_M the Banach space of all real sequences $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ satisfying $\sum_{n=1}^\infty M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho}\right) < \infty$ for some $\rho > 0$, equipped with the norm defined for $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_M$ by

$$\|x\| = \inf\left\{\rho > 0 : \sum_{n=1}^\infty M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho}\right) \leq 1\right\}.$$

Let h_M denote the closed subspace of ℓ_M consisting of all $(x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in \ell_M$ such that $\sum_{n=1}^\infty M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho}\right) < \infty$ for every $\rho > 0$. The sequence $(e_n)_{n=1}^\infty$ of canonical vectors then forms a symmetric basis of h_M. Clearly if $M(t) = t^p$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$, then h_M is just the space ℓ_p with its usual norm.

If $M(t) = 0$ for some $t > 0$, then M is said to be *degenerate*. In this case, h_M is isomorphic to c_0 and ℓ_M is isomorphic to ℓ_∞. In the sequel, *Orlicz functions are always supposed to be nondegenerate.*

We will be interested in the spaces h_M. Note that $\ell_M = h_M$ if and only if ℓ_M is separable if and only if $\beta_M < \infty$, where β_M is defined below.

An important observation is that if two Orlicz functions M_1 and M_2 coincide on some neighbourhood of 0, then h_{M_1} and h_{M_2} consist of the same sequences and the norms induced by M_1 and M_2 are equivalent.

The lower and upper Matuszewska-Orlicz indices of M are defined by

$$\alpha_M = \sup\left\{q \in \mathbb{R} : \sup_{\lambda, t \in (0,1]} \frac{M(\lambda t)}{M(\lambda)t^q} < \infty\right\},$$

$$\beta_M = \inf\left\{q \in \mathbb{R} : \inf_{\lambda, t \in (0,1]} \frac{M(\lambda t)}{M(\lambda)t^q} > 0\right\},$$

respectively. Then $1 \leq \alpha_M \leq \beta_M \leq \infty$. Note also that if $M(t) = t^p$ for some $1 \leq p < \infty$, then $\alpha_M = \beta_M = p$. We will need the following theorem due to Lindenstrauss and Tzafriri (see [LT1, Theorem 4.a.9]).

Theorem 2.1. *Let M be an Orlicz function and let $1 \leq p \leq \infty$. Then ℓ_p if $p < \infty$, or c_0 if $p = \infty$, is isomorphic to a subspace of h_M if and only if $p \in [\alpha_M, \beta_M]$.*

Let M be an Orlicz function and $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in h_M$. Using the Lebesgue's dominated convergence theorem we see that the function

$$\rho \mapsto \sum_{n=1}^\infty M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\rho}\right),\ \rho > 0,$$

is continuous. In particular,

$$\sum_{n=1}^\infty M\left(\frac{|x_n|}{\|x\|}\right) = 1. \tag{1}$$

The following lemma is a simple consequence of the convexity of M combined with the fact that $M(0) = 0$, and (1).

Lemma 2.2. *Let M be an Orlicz function and let $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^\infty \in h_M$.*

(a) *If $\|x\| \leq 1$, then $\sum_{n=1}^\infty M(|x_n|) \leq \|x\|$.*
(b) *If $\|x\| \geq 1$, then $\sum_{n=1}^\infty M(|x_n|) \geq \|x\|$.*

If X is a Banach space, define $q_X = \inf\{q \geq 2 : X \text{ has cotype } q\}$. Then if M is an Orlicz function, we have

$$q_{h_M} = \max(2, \beta_M). \tag{2}$$

This can be proved as follows. Suppose first that $\beta_M < \infty$. Note that h_M, equipped with the natural order, is a Banach lattice. By Remark 2 after Proposition 2.b.5 in [LT2], we have

$$\beta_M = \inf\{1 < q < \infty : h_M \text{ satisfies a lower } q\text{-estimate}\}.$$

By [LT2, Theorem 1.f.7], if a Banach lattice satisfies a lower r-estimate for some $1 < r < \infty$, then it is q-concave for every $r < q < \infty$. And by [LT2, Proposition 1.f.3(i)], if a Banach lattice is q-concave for some $q \geq 2$, then it is of cotype q. Hence $q_{h_M} \leq \max(2, \beta_M)$. The opposite inequality follows from the fact that ℓ_{β_M} is isomorphic to a subspace of h_M by Theorem 2.1, and $q_{\ell_{\beta_M}} = \max(2, \beta_M)$. If $\beta_M = \infty$, then, by Theorem 2.1, h_M contains c_0, and the result follows.

3. Embeddings of ℓ_2

In this section we give the promised proof of the fact that ℓ_2 strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_p if $1 \leq p < 2$. The proof is inspired by Nowak's construction of coarse embeddings between these spaces in [No2, proof of Corollary 4].

Recall that a kernel K on a set X (i.e. a function $K : X \times X \to \mathbb{C}$ such that $K(y,x) = \overline{K(x,y)}$ for every $x, y \in X$) is called

(a) *positive definite* if $\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} K(x_i, x_j) c_i \overline{c_j} \geq 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$,

(b) *negative definite* if $\sum_{i,j=1}^{n} K(x_i, x_j) c_i \overline{c_j} \leq 0$ for every $n \in \mathbb{N}$, $x_1, \ldots, x_n \in X$ and $c_1, \ldots, c_n \in \mathbb{C}$ satisfying $\sum_{i=1}^{n} c_i = 0$.

Note that if the kernel K is real-valued, then in order to check the positive or negative definiteness of K it suffices to use only the real scalars.

Recall also that for $p, q \in [1, \infty)$, the Mazur map $M_{p,q} : S_{\ell_p} \to S_{\ell_q}$, defined for $x = (x_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ by

$$M_{p,q}(x) = \left(|x_n|^{\frac{p}{q}} \operatorname{sign} x_n\right)_{n=1}^{\infty},$$

is a uniform homeomorphism between these unit spheres. If $p > q$, then it satisfies for all $x, y \in S_{\ell_p}$ and for some $C > 0$ the inequalities

$$C\|x - y\|^{\frac{p}{q}} \leq \|M_{p,q}(x) - M_{p,q}(y)\| \leq \frac{p}{q}\|x - y\|, \tag{3}$$

and the opposite inequalities if $p < q$ (with different C) because clearly $M_{q,p} = M_{p,q}^{-1}$. See [BL, Theorem 9.1] for a proof.

Theorem 3.1. *Let $1 \leq p < 2$. Then ℓ_2 strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_p.*

Proof. First, for every $t > 0$ there exists a mapping $\varphi_t : \ell_2 \to S_{\ell_2}$ such that for all $x, y \in \ell_2$ we have

$$\|\varphi_t(x) - \varphi_t(y)\|^2 = 2\left(1 - e^{-t\|x-y\|^2}\right). \tag{4}$$

To prove this statement, fix $t > 0$. By a simple computation, the function $(x, y) \to \|x - y\|^2$, $(x, y) \in \ell_2 \times \ell_2$, is a negative definite kernel on ℓ_2, and therefore, by [BL, Proposition 8.4], the function $(x, y) \to \mathrm{e}^{-t\|x-y\|^2}$, $(x, y) \in \ell_2 \times \ell_2$, is a positive definite kernel on ℓ_2. By [BL, Proposition 8.5(i)], there exists a Hilbert space H and a mapping $T : \ell_2 \to H$ such that $\mathrm{e}^{-t\|x-y\|^2} = \langle T(x), T(y)\rangle$ for every $x, y \in \ell_2$. The rest is clear.

Let $t_n > 0$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$, be such that $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{t_n} < \infty$. For each $n \in \mathbb{N}$, define $f_n = M_{2,p} \circ \varphi_{t_n}$. Let $x_0 \in \ell_2$ be arbitrary and define $f : \ell_2 \to (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_p)_{\ell_p}$ by $f(x) = (f_n(x) - f_n(x_0))_{n=1}^{\infty}$ (that $f(x) \in (\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_p)_{\ell_p}$ for every $x \in \ell_2$ will follow from the estimate (5) below). Let us show that f is a strong uniform embedding. Since the spaces $(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \ell_p)_{\ell_p}$ and ℓ_p are isometric, the proof will be then complete.

Let $x, y \in \ell_2$. Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(5)} \quad \|f(x) - f(y)\| &\leq \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n(x) - f_n(y)\| = \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|M_{2,p}(\varphi_{t_n}(x)) - M_{2,p}(\varphi_{t_n}(y))\| \\
&\leq \frac{2}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_{t_n}(x) - \varphi_{t_n}(y)\| = \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-t_n\|x-y\|^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} \\
&\leq \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(t_n \|x-y\|^2\right)^{\frac{1}{2}} = \|x-y\| \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{t_n},
\end{aligned}$$

where the first inequality follows from the triangle inequality, the second inequality from (3), the second equality from (4), and the third inequality from the fact that $1 - \mathrm{e}^{-t} \leq t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{R}$. By our assumption, $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{t_n} < \infty$.

On the other hand,

$$\begin{aligned}
\text{(6)} \quad \|f(x) - f(y)\|^p &= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|f_n(x) - f_n(y)\|^p \\
&= \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|M_{2,p}(\varphi_{t_n}(x)) - M_{2,p}(\varphi_{t_n}(y))\|^p \\
&\geq C^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \|\varphi_{t_n}(x) - \varphi_{t_n}(y)\|^2 \\
&= 2C^p \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-t_n\|x-y\|^2}\right),
\end{aligned}$$

where the inequality follows from (3).

Define functions ρ_1, ρ_2 on $[0, \infty)$ by

$$\rho_1(s) = 2^{\frac{1}{p}} C \left(\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \left(1 - e^{-t_n s^2}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$$

and

$$\rho_2(s) = s\, \frac{2\sqrt{2}}{p} \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sqrt{t_n}.$$

Then, by (5) and (6), for every $x, y \in \ell_2$ we have

$$\rho_1(\|x-y\|) \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \rho_2(\|x-y\|).$$

Clearly both ρ_1, ρ_2 are nondecreasing. Let us show that $\rho_1(s) \to \infty$ as $s \to \infty$. Let $N \in \mathbb{N}$. Then there exists $K > 0$ such that for each $1 \leq n \leq N$ and $s > K$ we have $1 - e^{-t_n s^2} \geq \frac{1}{2}$. For such s we then obtain

$$\rho_1(s) \geq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} C \left(\sum_{n=1}^{N} \left(1 - e^{-t_n s^2}\right) \right)^{\frac{1}{p}} \geq C N^{\frac{1}{p}}.$$

Hence $\rho_1(s) \to \infty$ as $s \to \infty$, and therefore f is a coarse embedding.

Since $\rho_2(s) \to 0$ as $s \to 0_+$, and

$$\rho_1(s) \geq 2^{\frac{1}{p}} C \left(1 - e^{-t_1 s^2}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} > 0$$

for every $s > 0$, we see that f is also a uniform embedding. □

4. Main Results

Let us start with a sufficient condition for the strong uniform embeddability of Orlicz sequence spaces into ℓ_p-spaces. The proof of the following proposition is based on a construction due to Albiac [Al, proof of Proposition 4.1(ii)].

Proposition 4.1. *Let M be an Orlicz function with $\beta_M < \infty$ and let $p > \beta_M$. Then h_M strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_p.*

Proof. We may clearly suppose that $M(1) = 1$. Fix arbitrary $\beta_M < q < p$. Then there is $C > 0$ such that

$$\frac{M(\lambda t)}{M(\lambda)t^q} \geq C \quad \text{for every } \lambda, t \in (0,1]. \tag{7}$$

We may suppose without loss of generality that

$$\frac{M(\lambda t)}{M(\lambda)t^q} \geq C \quad \text{for every } \lambda > 0 \text{ and } t \in (0,1]. \tag{8}$$

Indeed, if (7) holds, then in particular $M(t) \geq Ct^q$ for every $0 < t \leq 1$. We may clearly suppose that $Ct^q \leq M(t) \leq Dt^q$ for some $D \geq 1$ and for every $t > 1$. Then if $\lambda > 1$ and $t \in (0,1]$, we have $M(\lambda t) \geq C(\lambda t)^q = C\lambda^q t^q \geq \frac{C}{D}M(\lambda)t^q$. Since $\frac{C}{D} \leq C$, we may take as C in (8) the number $\frac{C}{D}$.

We will proceed in two steps.

Step 1: We will construct functions $f_{n,k} : \mathbb{R} \to [0,\infty)$, $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$, such that for certain constant $A \geq 1$ and for every $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$ we have

$$M(|s-t|) \leq \sum_{n,k=-\infty}^{\infty} |f_{n,k}(s) - f_{n,k}(t)|^p \leq A\, M(|s-t|). \tag{9}$$

Suppose that $n \in \mathbb{Z}$. Let $a_n = 2^{n+2} M\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}}$ and define

$$f_n(t) = \begin{cases} a_n t & \text{if } t \in \left[0, \frac{1}{2^n}\right], \\ -a_n\left(t - \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right) & \text{if } t \in \left(\frac{1}{2^n}, \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right], \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

For $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ then define the translation of f_n by

$$f_{n,k}(t) = f_n\left(t - \frac{k-1}{2^{n+1}}\right), \ t \in \mathbb{R}.$$

Note that for every $n, k \in \mathbb{Z}$ the estimate $0 \leq f_{n,k} \leq a_n \frac{1}{2^n}$ holds, the Lipschitz constant of $f_{n,k}$ is a_n, and the support of $f_{n,k}$ is $\left[\frac{k-1}{2^{n+1}}, \frac{k-1}{2^{n+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{n-1}}\right]$.

For the upper estimate in (9), let $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $s \neq t$, and let $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\frac{1}{2^{N+1}} < |s-t| \leq \frac{1}{2^N}$.

If $n > N$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{n,k}(s) - f_{n,k}(t)|^p &\leq a_n^p \frac{1}{2^{np}} = 4^p M\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) = 4^p M\left(\frac{1}{2^{n-N}}\frac{1}{2^{N+1}}\right) \\ &\leq 4^p \frac{1}{2^{n-N}} M\left(\frac{1}{2^{N+1}}\right) \leq 4^p \frac{1}{2^{n-N}} M(|s-t|) \end{aligned} \tag{10}$$

(the first inequality follows from the fact that $0 \leq f_{n,k} \leq a_n \frac{1}{2^n}$, while the second one from the convexity of M and the fact that $M(0) = 0$).

If $n \le N$ and $k \in \mathbb{Z}$, then

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{n,k}(s) - f_{n,k}(t)|^p &\le a_n^p |s-t|^p \le 2^{p(n+2)} M\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right)\frac{1}{2^{pN}} \\ &= 4^p \frac{1}{2^{p(N-n)}} M\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) = 4^p \left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{p}{q}(N-n)}}\right)^q M\left(\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) \\ &\le \frac{4^p}{C} M\left(\frac{1}{2^{\frac{p}{q}(N-n)}}\frac{1}{2^{n+1}}\right) = \frac{4^p}{C} M\left(\frac{1}{2^{(\frac{p}{q}-1)(N-n)}}\frac{1}{2^{N+1}}\right) \\ &\le \frac{4^p}{C}\frac{1}{2^{(\frac{p}{q}-1)(N-n)}} M\left(\frac{1}{2^{N+1}}\right) \\ &\le \frac{4^p}{C}\frac{1}{2^{(\frac{p}{q}-1)(N-n)}} M(|s-t|) \end{aligned} \tag{11}$$

(the first inequality follows from the fact that the Lipschitz constant of $f_{n,k}$ is a_n, the third one from (8), and the fourth one from the convexity of M and the fact that $M(0) = 0$).

Note that the estimates (10) and (11) do not depend on k. For $n \in \mathbb{Z}$, denote $S_n = \{k \in \mathbb{Z} : f_{n,k}(s) > 0 \text{ or } f_{n,k}(t) > 0\}$. Clearly the cardinality of S_n is at most 8. Hence, using (10) and (11),

$$\begin{aligned} &\sum_{n,k=-\infty}^{\infty} |f_{n,k}(s) - f_{n,k}(t)|^p \\ &\qquad = \sum_{n>N}\sum_{k\in S_n} |f_{n,k}(s) - f_{n,k}(t)|^p + \sum_{n\le N}\sum_{k\in S_n} |f_{n,k}(s) - f_{n,k}(t)|^p \\ &\qquad \le 8\cdot 4^p \left(\sum_{n>N}\frac{1}{2^{n-N}} + \frac{1}{C}\sum_{n\le N}\frac{1}{2^{(\frac{p}{q}-1)(N-n)}}\right) M(|s-t|) \\ &\qquad = 8\cdot 4^p\left(1 + \frac{1}{C}\frac{1}{1-2^{1-\frac{p}{q}}}\right) M(|s-t|). \end{aligned}$$

So we may take

$$A = 8\cdot 4^p\left(1 + \frac{1}{C}\frac{1}{1-2^{1-\frac{p}{q}}}\right).$$

For the lower estimate in (9), suppose that $s, t \in \mathbb{R}$, $s < t$, and let now $N \in \mathbb{Z}$ be such that $\frac{1}{2^{N+2}} < |s-t| \le \frac{1}{2^{N+1}}$. Let K be the largest $k \in \mathbb{Z}$ such that s belongs to the support of $f_{N,k}$. Then $s \in \left[\frac{K-1}{2^{N+1}}, \frac{K-1}{2^{N+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{N+1}}\right)$ and $t \in \left[\frac{K-1}{2^{N+1}}, \frac{K-1}{2^{N+1}} + \frac{1}{2^{N}}\right)$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} |f_{N,K}(s) - f_{N,K}(t)|^p = a_N^p|s-t|^p &\ge 2^{p(N+2)} M\left(\frac{1}{2^{N+1}}\right)\frac{1}{2^{p(N+2)}} \\ &= M\left(\frac{1}{2^{N+1}}\right) \ge M(|s-t|), \end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{n,k=-\infty}^{\infty} |f_{n,k}(s) - f_{n,k}(t)|^p \ge |f_{N,K}(s) - f_{N,K}(t)|^p \ge M(|s-t|).$$

Step 2: Define $f : h_M \to \ell_p(\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z})$ by

$$f(x) = (f_{n,k}(x_i) - f_{n,k}(0))_{(i,n,k)\in\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z}},$$

where $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^{\infty}$ (the fact that $f(x) \in \ell_p(\mathbb{N}\times\mathbb{Z}\times\mathbb{Z})$ for every $x \in h_M$ will follow from the estimates below). Let us show that f is a strong uniform embedding.

Let $x = (x_i)_{i=1}^\infty, y = (y_i)_{i=1}^\infty \in h_M$. By (9), for each $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$M(|x_i - y_i|) \leq \sum_{n,k=-\infty}^{\infty} |f_{n,k}(x_i) - f_{n,k}(y_i)|^p \leq A\, M(|x_i - y_i|),$$

and therefore

$$\sum_{i=1}^\infty M(|x_i - y_i|) \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\|^p \leq A \sum_{i=1}^\infty M(|x_i - y_i|).$$

By Lemma 2.2, if $\|x - y\| \leq 1$, then

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\|^p \leq A \sum_{i=1}^\infty M(|x_i - y_i|) \leq A\|x - y\|,$$

and if $\|x - y\| \geq 1$, then

$$\|f(x) - f(y)\|^p \geq \sum_{i=1}^\infty M(|x_i - y_i|) \geq \|x - y\|.$$

If $\|x - y\| > 1$, then, by (8), for every $i \in \mathbb{N}$ we have

$$M\left(\frac{|x_i - y_i|}{\|x - y\|}\right) \geq CM(|x_i - y_i|)\frac{1}{\|x - y\|^q},$$

and therefore, using also (1), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(x) - f(y)\|^p &\leq A \sum_{i=1}^\infty M(|x_i - y_i|) \leq \frac{A}{C} \sum_{i=1}^\infty M\left(\frac{|x_i - y_i|}{\|x - y\|}\right) \|x - y\|^q \\ &= \frac{A}{C}\|x - y\|^q.\end{aligned}$$

If $\|x - y\| < 1$, then similarly

$$\begin{aligned}\|f(x) - f(y)\|^p &\geq \sum_{i=1}^\infty M(|x_i - y_i|) \geq C \sum_{i=1}^\infty M\left(\frac{|x_i - y_i|}{\|x - y\|}\right) \|x - y\|^q \\ &= C\|x - y\|^q.\end{aligned}$$

Now define

$$\rho_1(t) = \begin{cases} C^{\frac{1}{p}} t^{\frac{q}{p}} & \text{if } t \in [0, 1), \\ t^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } t \geq 1, \end{cases}$$

and

$$\rho_2(t) = \begin{cases} A^{\frac{1}{p}} t^{\frac{1}{p}} & \text{if } t \in [0, 1], \\ \left(\frac{A}{C}\right)^{\frac{1}{p}} t^{\frac{q}{p}} & \text{if } t > 1. \end{cases}$$

Then ρ_1, ρ_2 are nondecreasing (since $C \leq 1$), $\lim_{t \to \infty} \rho_1(t) = \infty$, and for every $x, y \in h_M$ we have

$$\rho_1(\|x - y\|) \leq \|f(x) - f(y)\| \leq \rho_2(\|x - y\|).$$

Hence f is a coarse embedding, and clearly it is also a uniform embedding. Since $\ell_p(\mathbb{N} \times \mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z})$ is isometric to ℓ_p, we have obtained a strong uniform embedding of h_M into ℓ_p. $\square$

We are now ready to give a sufficient condition for the strong uniform embeddability between Orlicz sequence spaces. Recall that if M, N are metric spaces and $f : M \to N$ is a mapping, then f is called a *Lipschitz embedding* provided f is injective and both f and $f^{-1} : f(M) \to M$ are Lipschitz mappings. Clearly if f is a Lipschitz embedding, then f is a strong uniform embedding.

Theorem 4.2. *Let M, N be Orlicz functions. If $\beta_M < \beta_N$ or $\beta_N \leq \beta_M < 2$ or $\beta_M = \beta_N = \infty$, then h_M strongly uniformly embeds into h_N.*

Proof. If $\beta_N = \infty$, then c_0 linearly embeds into h_N by Theorem 2.1, and since every separable metric space Lipschitz embeds into c_0 by [Ah], we conclude that any h_M even Lipschitz embeds into h_N. So suppose that $\beta_N < \infty$.

If $\beta_M < \beta_N$, then h_M strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_{β_N} by Proposition 4.1, and ℓ_{β_N} linearly embeds into h_N by Theorem 2.1. Hence h_M strongly uniformly embeds into h_N.

If $\beta_N \leq \beta_M < 2$, then h_M strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_2 by Proposition 4.1. By Theorem 3.1, ℓ_2 strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_{β_N}, which in turn linearly embeds into h_N by Theorem 2.1, and therefore h_M strongly uniformly embeds into h_N. ☐

To give a condition ensuring the nonexistence of a coarse or uniform embedding between two Orlicz sequence spaces, we will use the following result due to Mendel and Naor. Recall that if X is a Banach space, then we define $q_X = \inf\{q \geq 2 : X \text{ has cotype } q\}$.

Theorem 4.3 ([MN, Theorems 1.9 and 1.11])**.** *Let Y be a Banach space with nontrivial type and let X be a Banach space which coarsely or uniformly embeds into Y. Then $q_X \leq q_Y$.*

Theorem 4.4. *Let M, N be Orlicz functions. If $\beta_M > 2$ and $\beta_N < \beta_M$, then h_M does not coarsely or uniformly embed into h_N.*

Proof. Assume first that h_N has nontrivial type. Since, by (2),

$$q_{h_M} = \max(2, \beta_M) > \max(2, \beta_N) = q_{h_N},$$

it follows from Theorem 4.3 that h_M does not coarsely or uniformly embed into h_N.

Now suppose that h_N does not have nontrivial type and suppose for the contradiction that h_M coarsely or uniformly embeds into h_N. Pick any $p \in (\beta_N, \beta_M)$. Then h_N strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_p by Proposition 4.1, and therefore h_M coarsely or uniformly embeds into ℓ_p. But ℓ_p has nontrivial type (since $p > 1$) and its upper Matuszewska-Orlicz index is equal to $p < \beta_M$, which is in contradiction with the first part of the proof. ☐

Theorems 4.2 and 4.4 give almost complete classification of the coarse (uniform) embeddability between Orlicz sequence spaces. In the remaining cases, when $\beta_N \leq \beta_M = 2$ or $2 < \beta_M = \beta_N < \infty$, the situation is more complicated.

Let us now investigate the case when $\beta_N \leq \beta_M = 2$. We will show that in this case the coarse (uniform) embeddability of h_M into h_N is not determined by the values of β_M and β_N. More precisely, for any $1 \leq p \leq 2$ we can find Orlicz functions M_1, N_1, M_2, N_2 such that $\beta_{M_1} = \beta_{M_2} = 2$ and $\beta_{N_1} = \beta_{N_2} = p$, and such that h_{M_1} coarsely (uniformly) embeds into h_{N_1} and h_{M_2} does not coarsely (uniformly) embed into h_{N_2}. Of course, by Theorem 3.1, ℓ_2 strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_p, providing thus examples of M_1 and N_1. Let us give examples of M_2 and N_2.

We will use the following theorem due to Johnson and Randrianarivony.

Theorem 4.5 ([JR, Theorem 1])**.** *Let X be a Banach space with a normalized symmetric basis $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ such that*

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}} \left\| \sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i \right\| = 0.$$

Then X does not coarsely or uniformly embed into a Hilbert space.

This theorem was originally stated only for coarse embeddability; the statement about uniform embeddability follows from a result of Randrianarivony [Ra, a paragraph before Theorem 1], who proved that a Banach space coarsely embeds into a Hilbert space if and only if it uniformly embeds into a Hilbert space.

Proposition 4.6. *Let M be an Orlicz function such that*

$$\lim_{t\to 0_+} \frac{M(t)}{t^2} = 0.$$

Then h_M does not coarsely or uniformly embed into ℓ_2.

Proof. We may suppose without loss of generality that $M(1) = 1$. Then the sequence of canonical vectors $(e_n)_{n=1}^{\infty}$ forms a normalized symmetric basis of h_M. Furthermore,

$$\begin{aligned}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i\right\| &= \inf\left\{\rho > 0 : \sum_{i=1}^{n} M\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \le 1\right\} = \inf\left\{\rho > 0 : M\left(\frac{1}{\rho}\right) \le \frac{1}{n}\right\}\\ &= \inf\left\{\rho > 0 : \frac{1}{\rho} \le M^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)\right\} = \frac{1}{M^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)},\end{aligned}$$

and therefore

$$\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i\right\| = \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)}.$$

Let $t_n = M^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)$. Then $t_n \to 0$ and $M(t_n) = \frac{1}{n}$, and therefore

$$\frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}} M^{-1}\left(\frac{1}{n}\right)} = \frac{M(t_n)^{\frac{1}{2}}}{t_n} \xrightarrow{n\to\infty} 0,$$

since $\lim_{t\to 0_+} \frac{M(t)}{t^2} = 0$.

Hence

$$\liminf_{n\to\infty} \frac{1}{n^{\frac{1}{2}}}\left\|\sum_{i=1}^{n} e_i\right\| = 0,$$

and therefore, by Theorem 4.5, the space h_M does not coarsely or uniformly embed into ℓ_2. □

Example 4.7. *There exists an Orlicz function M such that $\alpha_M = \beta_M = 2$ and h_M does not coarsely or uniformly embed into ℓ_p for any $1 \le p \le 2$.*

Proof. Let

$$f(t) = \frac{t^2}{1 - \log t},\ t \in (0, \mathrm{e}).$$

Then using simple calculus we see that f is a continuous convex function, $f(t) > 0$ for each $t \in (0, \mathrm{e})$ and $\lim_{t\to 0_+} f(t) = 0$. Clearly there exists an Orlicz function M such that $M(t) = f(t)$ for every $t \in (0, 1]$.

Let us show that $\alpha_M = \beta_M = 2$. Let $q \le 2$ and $\lambda, t \in (0, 1]$. Then

$$\frac{M(\lambda t)}{M(\lambda)t^q} = \frac{\frac{(\lambda t)^2}{1-\log(\lambda t)}}{\frac{\lambda^2}{1-\log\lambda} t^q} = t^{2-q}\frac{1-\log\lambda}{1-\log(\lambda t)} \le t^{2-q} \le 1$$

(the first inequality follows from the fact that $s \mapsto 1 - \log s$ is decreasing), and therefore $\alpha_M \ge 2$.

Let $q > 2$. If $\lambda, t \in (0, 1]$, then

$$\frac{M(\lambda t)}{M(\lambda)t^q} = t^{2-q}\frac{1-\log\lambda}{1-\log(\lambda t)} = t^{2-q}\frac{1-\log\lambda}{1-\log\lambda-\log t} = \frac{t^{2-q}}{1+\frac{-\log t}{1-\log\lambda}} \ge \frac{t^{2-q}}{1-\log t},$$

where the inequality holds since $-\log t \geq 0$ and $1-\log\lambda \geq 1$. Now if we define $g(s)=\frac{s^{2-q}}{1-\log s}$, $s\in(0,1]$, then $\lim_{s\to 0_+} g(s)=\infty$, $g(1)=1$ and $g(s)>0$ for each $s\in(0,1]$. It follows that there is $C>0$ such that $g(s)\geq C$ for each $s\in(0,1]$. Hence

$$\frac{M(\lambda t)}{M(\lambda)t^q}\geq C$$

for every $\lambda, t\in(0,1]$. This implies that $\beta_M\leq 2$.

Finally, if $t\in(0,1]$, then

$$\frac{M(t)}{t^2}=\frac{\frac{t^2}{1-\log t}}{t^2}=\frac{1}{1-\log t}\xrightarrow{t\to 0_+} 0.$$

Hence, by Proposition 4.6, h_M does not coarsely or uniformly embed into ℓ_2. Let $1\leq p<2$. Since ℓ_p strongly uniformly embeds into ℓ_2 by Theorem 1.1, it follows that h_M does not coarsely or uniformly embed into ℓ_p. ☐

The last remaining case is when $2<\beta_M=\beta_N<\infty$. In this case, we can of course always have the coarse (uniform) embeddability (since any Banach space strongly uniformly embeds into itself). However, we do not know whether there exist Orlicz functions M, N satisfying $2<\beta_M=\beta_N<\infty$, such that h_M does not coarsely (uniformly) embed into h_N.

Let us conclude with a brief summary of the results. Let M, N be Orlicz functions.

(1) If $\beta_M<\beta_N$ or $\beta_N\leq\beta_M<2$ or $\beta_M=\beta_N=\infty$, then h_M strongly uniformly embeds into h_N.
(2) If $\beta_M>2$ and $\beta_N<\beta_M$, then h_M does not coarsely or uniformly embed into h_N.
(3) If $\beta_N\leq\beta_M=2$, then the coarse (uniform) embeddability of h_M into h_N is not determined by the values of β_M and β_N.
(4) If $2<\beta_M=\beta_N<\infty$, then the question of the coarse (uniform) embeddability of h_M into h_N is open.

Acknowledgments. The author would like to thank Gilles Lancien for many inspiring discussions and helpful comments on this paper. He would also like to thank Tony Procházka for several valuable conversations. The author is grateful to F. Baudier for pointing out to him Albiac's construction of embeddings between ℓ_p-spaces, and to both F. Albiac and F. Baudier for providing him with their manuscript [AB].

This work was completed during the author's stay at Université de Franche-Comté in Besançon. The author wishes to thank the staff for their hospitality.

References

[Ah] I. Aharoni, *Every separable metric space is Lipschitz equivalent to a subset of* c_0, Israel J. Math. 19 (1974), 284–291.

[Al] F. Albiac, *Nonlinear structure of some classical quasi-Banach spaces and F-spaces*, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 340 (2008), 1312–1325.

[AB] F. Albiac and F. Baudier, *Embeddability of snowflaked metrics with applications to the Lipschitz geometry of the spaces* L_p *and* ℓ_p *for* $0<p<\infty$, preprint.

[BL] Y. Benyamini and J. Lindenstrauss, *Geometric Nonlinear Functional Analysis*, Coll. Pub. 48, Amer. Math. Soc., Providence, RI, 2000.

[B-M1] L. Borel-Mathurin, *Isomorphismes non linéaires entre espaces de Banach*, Ph.D. thesis, 2010.

[B-M2] L. Borel-Mathurin, *The Szlenk index of Orlicz sequence spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 138 (2010), 2043–2050.

[JR] W. B. Johnson and N. L. Randrianarivony, ℓ_p $(p>2)$ *does not coarsely embed into a Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 1045–1050.

[Ka1] N. J. Kalton, *The nonlinear geometry of Banach spaces*, Rev. Mat. Complut. 21 (2008), no. 1, 7–60.

[Ka2] N. J. Kalton, *Uniform homeomorphisms of Banach spaces and asymptotic structure*, to appear in Trans. Amer. Math. Soc.

[LT1] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces I*, Springer-Verlag, 1977.

[LT2] J. Lindenstrauss and L. Tzafriri, *Classical Banach Spaces II*, Springer-Verlag, 1979.

[MN] M. Mendel and A. Naor, *Metric cotype*, Ann. of Math. (2) 168 (2008), 247–298.

[No1] P. W. Nowak, *Coarse embeddings of metric spaces into Banach spaces*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 133 (2005), 2589–2596.

[No2] P. W. Nowak, *On coarse embeddability into ℓ_p-spaces and a conjecture of Dranishnikov*, Fund. Math. 189 (2006), 111–116.

[Ra] N. L. Randrianarivony, *Characterization of quasi-Banach spaces which coarsely embed into a Hilbert space*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc. 134 (2006), 1315–1317.

Department of Mathematical Analysis, Faculty of Mathematics and Physics, Charles University, Sokolovská 83, 186 75 Praha 8, Czech Republic

Université de Franche-Comté, Laboratoire de Mathématiques UMR 6623, 16 route de Gray, 25030 Besançon Cedex, France
E-mail address: `mkraus@karlin.mff.cuni.cz`